Annales Mathematicae et Informaticae 54 (2021) pp. 141-146 DOI: https://doi.org/10.33039/ami.2021.04.005 URL: https://ami.uni-eszterhazy.hu

What positive integers n can be presented in the form n = (x + y + z)(1/x + 1/y + 1/z)?

Nguyen Xuan Tho

School of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Hanoi University of Science and Technology tho.nguyenxuan1@hust.edu.vn

> Submitted: November 9, 2020 Accepted: April 19, 2021 Published online: April 27, 2021

Abstract

This paper shows that the equation in the title does not have positive integer solutions when n is divisible by 4. This gives a partial answer to a question by Melvyn Knight. The proof is a mixture of elementary p-adic analysis and elliptic curve theory.

Keywords: Elliptic curves, p-adic numbers AMS Subject Classification: 11G05, 11D88

1. Introduction

According to Bremner, Guy, and Nowakowski [1], Melvyn Knight asked what integers n can be represented in the form

$$n = (x + y + z) \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z}\right),$$
(1.1)

where x, y, z are integers. In the same paper [1], the authors made an extension study of (1.1) in integers when n is in the range $|n| \leq 1000$. Integer solutions are found except for 99 values of n. The question becomes more interesting if we ask for positive integer solutions, which was also briefly discussed in [1, Section 2]. In this paper, we will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. Let n be a positive integer. Then equation

$$(x+y+z)\left(\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{z}\right) = n$$

does not have positive integer solutions if $4 \mid n$.

This theorem gives the first parametric family when (1.1) does not have positive integer solutions. The proof technique is a nice combination of *p*-adic analysis and elliptic curve theory, which was successfully applied to prove the insolubility of the equation

$$(x+y+z+w)\left(\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{w}\right) = n$$

for the families $n = 4m^2$, $4m^2 + 4$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, see [2].

2. The Hilbert symbol

Let p be a prime number, and let $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. The Hilbert symbol $(a, b)_p$ is defined as

$$(a,b)_p = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the equation } aX^2 + bY^2 = Z^2 \text{ has a solution} \\ & (X,Y,Z) \neq (0,0,0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}_p^3, \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The symbol $(a, b)_{\infty}$ is defined similarly but \mathbb{Q}_p is replaced by \mathbb{R} . The following properties of the Hilbert symbol are true, see Serre [3, Chapter III]:

(i) For all a, b, and c in \mathbb{Q}_p^* , then

$$(a, bc)_p = (a, b)_p (a, c)_p,$$

$$(a, b^2)_p = 1.$$

(ii) For all a and b in \mathbb{Q}_p^* , then

$$(a,b)_{\infty} \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (a,b)_p = 1.$$

(iii) Let p be a prime number, and let a and b in \mathbb{Q}_p^* . Write $a = p^{\alpha}u$ and $b = p^{\beta}v$, where $\alpha = v_p(a)$ and $\beta = v_p(b)$. Then

$$(a,b)_{p} = (-1)^{\frac{\alpha\beta(p-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{u}{p}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{v}{p}\right)^{\alpha} \text{ if } p \neq 2,$$
$$(a,b)_{p} = (-1)^{\frac{(u-1)(v-1)}{4} + \frac{\alpha(v^{2}-1)}{8} + \frac{\beta(u^{2}-1)}{8}} \text{ if } p = 2,$$

where $\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol.

3. A main theorem

Theorem 3.1. Let n be a positive integer divisible by 4. Let u and v be nonzero rational numbers such that

$$v^{2} = u(u^{2} + (n^{2} - 6n - 3)u + 16n).$$

Then

u > 0.

Let $A = n^2 - 6n - 3$, B = 16n and D = n - 1. Then

$$A^{2} - 4B = (n - 9)(n - 1)^{2}.$$

Now

$$v^2 = u(u^2 + Au + B). (3.1)$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is achieved by means of the following three lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. If p is an odd prime number, then

$$(u, -D)_p = 1$$

Proof. Let $r = v_p(u)$. Then $u = p^r s$, where $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and $p \nmid s$.

Case 1: r < 0. Then from (3.1), we have

$$v^{2} = p^{3r}s(s^{2} + p^{-r}A + Bp^{-2r}).$$

Therefore $2v_p(v) = 3r$, hence $2 \mid r$. Now

$$(p^{-3r/2}v)^2 = s(s^2 + p^{-r}A + Bp^{-2r}).$$
(3.2)

Note that $p \nmid s$. Taking (3.2) modulo p gives s is a square modulo p. Hence $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. We also have $2 \mid r$, so $u = 2^r s \in \mathbb{Q}_p^2$. Therefore $(u, -D)_p = 1$.

Case 2: r = 0.

If $p \nmid D$, then both u and -D are units in \mathbb{Z}_p . Therefore $(u, -D)_p = 1$.

If $p \mid D$, then $n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Hence $A \equiv n^2 - 6n - 3 \equiv -8 \pmod{p}$ and $B = 16n \equiv 16 \pmod{p}$. Thus

$$v^{2} \equiv u(u^{2} - 8u + 16) \pmod{p}$$

$$\equiv u(u - 4)^{2} \pmod{p}.$$
(3.3)

If $u \equiv 4 \pmod{p}$, then $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Hence $(u, -D)_p = 1$. If $u \not\equiv 4 \pmod{p}$, then from (3.3), we have

$$u \equiv \left(\frac{v}{u-4}\right)^2 \pmod{p}.$$

Therefore $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Hence $(u, -D)_p = 1$.

Case 3: r > 0. Then (3.1) becomes

$$v^{2} = p^{r}s(p^{2r}s^{2} + Ap^{r}s + B).$$
(3.4)

If $p \mid B$, then $p \mid n$. Therefore $-D = 1 - n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Hence $-D \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Thus $(u, -D)_p = 1$.

If $p \nmid B$, then from (3.4) we have $r = 2v_p(v)$. Thus $2 \mid r$.

If $p \nmid D$, then both s and -D are units in \mathbb{Z}_p . Therefore $(s, -D)_p = 1$. Hence

$$(u, -D)_p = (p^r s, -D)_p = (s, -D)_p = 1.$$

If $p \mid D$, then $n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Therefore $A = n^2 - 6n - 3 \equiv -8 \pmod{p}$ and $B = 16n \equiv 16 \pmod{p}$. Let $\omega = p^{\frac{-r}{2}}v$. Because $r = 2v_p(v)$, we have $p \nmid \omega$. From (3.4) we have

$$\omega^2 \equiv s(p^{2r}s^2 - 8p^rs + 16) \equiv 16s \pmod{p},$$

so that

$$s \equiv (\omega/4)^2 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Hence $(s, -D)_p = 1$. Note that $2 \mid r$, therefore

$$(u, -D)_p = (p^r s, -D)_p = (s, -D)_p = 1.$$

Lemma 3.3. We have

$$(u, -D)_2 = 1.$$

Proof. Let n = 4k, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

If $2 \mid k$, then $-D = 1 - 4k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Therefore $-D \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2$. Hence $(u, -D)_2 = 1$. So we only need to consider the case $2 \nmid k$. Let $r = v_2(u)$. Then $u = 2^r s$, where $2 \nmid s$.

Case 1: $2 \mid r$. Then

$$(u, -D)_2 = (2^r s, 1 - 4k)_2$$

= $(s, 1 - 4k)_2$
= $(-1)^{\frac{(s-1)(1-4k-1)}{4}}$
= 1.

Case 2: $2 \nmid r$. We show that this case is not possible. If r < 0, then from (3.1), we have

$$v^{2} = 2^{3r}s(s^{2} + 2^{-r}As + 2^{-2r}B).$$

Therefore $3r = 2v_2(v)$. Hence $2 \mid r$, a contradiction.

If $r \ge 0$, then (3.1) becomes

$$v^{2} = 2^{r} s (2^{2r} s^{2} + 2^{r} (16k^{2} - 24k - 3)s + 2^{6}k).$$
(3.5)

If $r \geq 7$, then

$$v^{2} = 2^{r+6}s(2^{2r-6}s^{2} + (16k^{2} - 24k - 3)2^{r-6}s + k)$$

Therefore $r + 6 = 2v_2(v)$. Hence $2 \mid r$, a contradiction.

If r < 7, then $r \leq 5$. Let $\phi = \frac{v}{2^r}$. Then from (3.5), we have

$$\phi^2 = s(2^r s^2 + (16k^2 - 24k - 3)s + 2^{6-r}k).$$
(3.6)

If r = 5, then taking (3.6) modulo 8 gives $\phi^2 \equiv s(-3s + 2k) \pmod{8}$. Hence $2sk \equiv \phi^2 + 3s^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, which is not possible because $2 \nmid sk$.

If r = 3, then taking (3.6) modulo 8 gives $\phi^2 \equiv -3s^2 \pmod{8}$. Hence $0 \equiv \phi^2 + 3s^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, a contradiction.

If r = 1, then taking (3.6) modulo 8 gives $\phi^2 \equiv s(2 - 3s) \pmod{8}$. So $2s \equiv 3s^2 + \phi^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, which is not possible because $2 \nmid s$.

Lemma 3.4.

$$(u, -D)_{\infty} = 1.$$

Proof. From the product formula for the Hilbert symbol, we have

$$(u, -D)_{\infty} \prod_{p \text{ prime, } p < \infty} (u, -D)_p = 1.$$
(3.7)

By Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3, and (3.7), we have $(u, -D)_{\infty} = 1$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.1, we see that Lemma 3.4 shows that the equation $uX^2 + (1-n)Y^2 = Z^2$ has a solution $(X, Y, Z) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Because 1 - n < 0, we have u > 0. Hence Theorem 3.1 is proved.

4. A proof of Theorem 1.1

We follow [1, Section 2]. Write (1.1) as

$$x^{2}(y+z) + x(y^{2} + (3-n)yz + z^{2}) + yz = 0.$$
(4.1)

Hence

$$x = \frac{-y^2 + (n-3)yz - z^2 \pm \Delta}{2(y+z)},$$

where Δ satisfies

$$\Delta^2 = y^4 - 2(n-1)yz(y^2 + z^2) + (n^2 - 6n - 3)y^2z^2 + z^4.$$
(4.2)

Then (4.2) is birationally equivalent to the elliptic curve

$$v^{2} = u(u^{2} + (n^{2} - 6n - 3)u + 16n), \qquad (4.3)$$

 \square

and we can write out the maps between (4.1) and (4.3):

$$u = \frac{-4(xy + yz + zx)}{z^2}, \quad v = \frac{2(u - 4n)y}{z} - (n - 1)u,$$

and

$$\frac{x, y}{z} = \frac{\pm v - (n-1)u}{2(4n-u)}$$

Then the following is true.

Proposition 4.1. The necessary and sufficient conditions for (4.1) to have positive integer solutions (x, y, z) are n > 0 and u < 0.

Proof. See Bremner, Guy, and Nowakowski [1, Section 2].

Now, let n = 4k, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Assume there exists a positive integer solution (x, y, z) to (1.1). Then Proposition 4.1 shows that u < 0. If v = 0, then (4.3) implies $u^2 + (n^2 - 6n - 3)u + 16n = 0$. Therefore $(n - 9)(n - 1)^3 = (n^2 - 6n - 3)^2 - 4 \times 16n$ is a perfect square. Hence (n - 9)(n - 1) is a perfect square. Let $(n - 9)(n - 1) = m^2$. Then $(n - 5)^2 - 16 = m^2$. The equation $X^2 - 16 = Y^2$ only has integer solutions $(X, Y) = (\pm 5, \pm 3)$. Thus $n - 5 = \pm 5$, giving no solutions n = 4k. Therefore $v \neq 0$. Hence $u, v \neq 0$. From Theorem 3.1, we have u > 0, contradicting Proposition 4.1. Hence (1.1) does not have solutions in positive integers.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for his careful reading and valuable comments.

References

- A. BREMNER, R. K. GUY, R. J. NOWAKOWSKI: Which integers are representable as the product of the sum of three integers with the sum of their reciprocals?, Math. Compt. 61 (1993), pp. 117–130, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-1993-1189516-5.
- [2] A. BREMNER, N. X. THO: The equation (w + x + y + z)(1/w + 1/x + 1/y + 1/z) = n, Int. J. Number Theory 14.5 (2018), pp. 1–18, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042118500756.
- [3] J.-P. SERRE: Local Fields, New York: Springer, 1973.