

Generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection

Dae Ho Jin^a, Jae Won Lee^{b*}

^aDepartment of Mathematics Education
Dongguk University, Gyeongju 38066, Republic of Korea
jindh@dongguk.ac.kr

^bDepartment of Mathematics Education and RINS
Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Republic of Korea
leejaew@gnu.ac.kr

Submitted September 20, 2016 — Accepted August 7, 2017

Abstract

Jin [13] introduced the notion of non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection on semi-Riemannian manifolds and studied lightlike hypersurfaces of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection [12]. We study further the geometry of this subject. In this paper, we study generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection.

Keywords: non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection, generic lightlike submanifold, indefinite trans-Sasakian structure

MSC: 53C25, 53C40, 53C50

1. Introduction

The notion of non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection on indefinite almost contact manifolds or indefinite almost complex manifolds was introduced by Jin [12, 13]. Here we quote Jin's definition in itself as follows:

*Corresponding author

A linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on a semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is called a *non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection* if it and its torsion tensor \bar{T} satisfy

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\bar{g})(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = -\theta(\bar{Y})\phi(\bar{X}, \bar{Z}) - \theta(\bar{Z})\phi(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}), \quad (1.1)$$

$$\bar{T}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \theta(\bar{Y})J\bar{X} - \theta(\bar{X})J\bar{Y}, \quad (1.2)$$

where ϕ and J are tensor fields of types $(0, 2)$ and $(1, 1)$ respectively, and θ is a 1-form associated with a smooth vector field ζ by $\theta(\bar{X}) = \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \zeta)$. Throughout this paper, we denote by \bar{X} , \bar{Y} and \bar{Z} the smooth vector fields on \bar{M} .

In case $\phi = \bar{g}$ in (1.1), the above non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection reduces to so-called the quarter-symmetric non-metric connection. Quarter-symmetric non-metric connection was introduced by S. Golad [7], and then, studied by many authors [2, 4, 19, 20]. In case $\phi = \bar{g}$ in (1.1) and $J = I$ in (1.2), the above non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection reduces to so-called the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Semi-symmetric non-metric connection was introduced by Ageshe and Chafle [1] and later studied by many geometers.

The notion of generic lightlike submanifolds on indefinite almost contact manifolds or indefinite almost complex manifolds was introduced by Jin-Lee [14] and later, studied by Duggal-Jin [6], Jin [9, 10] and Jin-Lee [16] and several geometers. We cite Jin-Lee's definition in itself as follows:

A lightlike submanifold M of an indefinite almost contact manifold \bar{M} is said to be *generic* if there exists a screen distribution $S(TM)$ on M such that

$$J(S(TM)^\perp) \subset S(TM), \quad (1.3)$$

where $S(TM)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $S(TM)$ in the tangent bundle $T\bar{M}$ on \bar{M} , *i.e.*, $T\bar{M} = S(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM)^\perp$. The geometry of generic lightlike submanifolds is an extension of that of lightlike hypersurfaces and half lightlike submanifolds of codimension 2. Much of its theory will be immediately generalized in a formal way to general lightlike submanifolds.

The notion of trans-Sasakian manifold, of type (α, β) , was introduced by Oubina [18]. If \bar{M} is a semi-Riemannian manifold with a trans-Sasakian structure of type (α, β) , then \bar{M} is called an *indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β)* . Indefinite Sasakian, Kenmotsu and cosymplectic manifolds are important kinds of indefinite trans-Sasakian manifolds such that

$$\alpha = 1, \beta = 0; \quad \alpha = 0, \beta = 1; \quad \alpha = \beta = 0, \quad \text{respectively.}$$

In this paper, we study generic lightlike submanifolds M of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold $\bar{M} = (\bar{M}, J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g})$ with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection, in which the tensor field J in (1.2) is identical with the indefinite almost contact structure tensor field J of \bar{M} , the tensor field ϕ in (1.1) is identical with the fundamental 2-form associated with J , that is,

$$\phi(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \bar{g}(J\bar{X}, \bar{Y}), \quad (1.4)$$

and the 1-form θ , defined by (1.1) and (1.2), is identical with the structure 1-form θ of the indefinite almost contact metric structure $(J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g})$ of \bar{M} .

Remark 1.1. Denote $\tilde{\nabla}$ by the unique Levi-Civita connection of (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) with respect to the metric \bar{g} . It is known [13] that a linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on \bar{M} is non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection if and only if it satisfies

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\bar{Y} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\bar{Y} + \theta(\bar{Y})J\bar{X}. \tag{1.5}$$

For the rest of this paper, by the non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection we shall mean the non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection defined by (1.5).

2. Non-metric ϕ -symmetric connections

An odd-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is called an *indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold* if there exist (1) a structure set $\{J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g}\}$, where J is a $(1, 1)$ -type tensor field, ζ is a vector field and θ is a 1-form such that

$$\begin{aligned} J^2\bar{X} &= -\bar{X} + \theta(\bar{X})\zeta, & \theta(\zeta) &= 1, & \theta(\bar{X}) &= \epsilon\bar{g}(\bar{X}, \zeta), \\ \theta \circ J &= 0, & \bar{g}(J\bar{X}, J\bar{Y}) &= \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) - \epsilon\theta(\bar{X})\theta(\bar{Y}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

(2) two smooth functions α and β , and a Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ such that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}J)\bar{Y} = \alpha\{\bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta - \epsilon\theta(\bar{Y})\bar{X}\} + \beta\{\bar{g}(J\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta - \epsilon\theta(\bar{Y})J\bar{X}\},$$

where ϵ denotes $\epsilon = 1$ or -1 according as ζ is spacelike or timelike respectively. $\{J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g}\}$ is called an *indefinite trans-Sasakian structure of type (α, β)* .

In the entire discussion of this article, we shall assume that the vector field ζ is a spacelike one, *i.e.*, $\epsilon = 1$, without loss of generality.

Let $\bar{\nabla}$ be a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection on (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) . Using (1.5) and the fact that $\theta \circ J = 0$, the equation in the item (2) is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}J)\bar{Y} &= \alpha\{\bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta - \theta(\bar{Y})\bar{X}\} \\ &+ \beta\{\bar{g}(J\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta - \theta(\bar{Y})J\bar{X}\} + \theta(\bar{Y})\{\bar{X} - \theta(\bar{X})\zeta\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Replacing \bar{Y} by ζ to (2.2) and using $J\zeta = 0$ and $\theta(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\zeta) = 0$, we obtain

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\zeta = -(\alpha - 1)J\bar{X} + \beta\{\bar{X} - \theta(\bar{X})\zeta\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Let (M, g) be an m -dimensional lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) of dimension $(m + n)$. Then the radical distribution $Rad(TM) = TM \cap TM^\perp$ on M is a subbundle of the tangent bundle TM and the normal bundle TM^\perp , of rank r ($1 \leq r \leq \min\{m, n\}$). In general, there exist two complementary non-degenerate distributions $S(TM)$ and $S(TM^\perp)$ of $Rad(TM)$

in TM and TM^\perp respectively, which are called the *screen distribution* and the *co-screen distribution* of M , such that

$$TM = \text{Rad}(TM) \oplus_{\text{orth}} S(TM), \quad TM^\perp = \text{Rad}(TM) \oplus_{\text{orth}} S(TM^\perp),$$

where \oplus_{orth} denotes the orthogonal direct sum. Denote by $F(M)$ the algebra of smooth functions on M and by $\Gamma(E)$ the $F(M)$ module of smooth sections of a vector bundle E over M . Also denote by $(2.1)_i$ the i -th equation of (2.1). We use the same notations for any others. Let X, Y, Z and W be the vector fields on M , unless otherwise specified. We use the following range of indices:

$$i, j, k, \dots \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \quad a, b, c, \dots \in \{r+1, \dots, n\}.$$

Let $\text{tr}(TM)$ and $\text{ltr}(TM)$ be complementary vector bundles to TM in $T\bar{M}|_M$ and TM^\perp in $S(TM)^\perp$ respectively and let $\{N_1, \dots, N_r\}$ be a lightlike basis of $\text{ltr}(TM)|_{\mathcal{U}}$, where \mathcal{U} is a coordinate neighborhood of M , such that

$$\bar{g}(N_i, \xi_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \bar{g}(N_i, N_j) = 0,$$

where $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r\}$ is a lightlike basis of $\text{Rad}(TM)|_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} T\bar{M} &= TM \oplus \text{tr}(TM) = \{\text{Rad}(TM) \oplus \text{tr}(TM)\} \oplus_{\text{orth}} S(TM) \\ &= \{\text{Rad}(TM) \oplus \text{ltr}(TM)\} \oplus_{\text{orth}} S(TM) \oplus_{\text{orth}} S(TM^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

We say that a lightlike submanifold $M = (M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ of \bar{M} is

- (1) *r-lightlike submanifold* if $1 \leq r < \min\{m, n\}$;
- (2) *co-isotropic submanifold* if $1 \leq r = n < m$;
- (3) *isotropic submanifold* if $1 \leq r = m < n$;
- (4) *totally lightlike submanifold* if $1 \leq r = m = n$.

The above three classes (2)~(4) are particular cases of the class (1) as follows:

$$S(TM^\perp) = \{0\}, \quad S(TM) = \{0\}, \quad S(TM) = S(TM^\perp) = \{0\}$$

respectively. The geometry of r -lightlike submanifolds is more general than that of the other three types. For this reason, we consider only r -lightlike submanifolds M , with following local quasi-orthonormal field of frames of \bar{M} :

$$\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, N_1, \dots, N_r, F_{r+1}, \dots, F_m, E_{r+1}, \dots, E_n\},$$

where $\{F_{r+1}, \dots, F_m\}$ and $\{E_{r+1}, \dots, E_n\}$ are orthonormal bases of $S(TM)$ and $S(TM^\perp)$, respectively. Denote $\epsilon_a = \bar{g}(E_a, E_a)$. Then $\epsilon_a \delta_{ab} = \bar{g}(E_a, E_b)$.

In the sequel, we shall assume that ζ is tangent to M . Călin [5] proved that *if ζ is tangent to M , then it belongs to $S(TM)$* which we assumed in this paper. Let P

be the projection morphism of TM on $S(TM)$. Then the local Gauss-Weingarten formulae of M and $S(TM)$ are given respectively by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^\ell(X, Y)N_i + \sum_{a=r+1}^n h_a^s(X, Y)E_a, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N_i = -A_{N_i} X + \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X)N_j + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \rho_{ia}(X)E_a, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X E_a = -A_{E_a} X + \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_{ai}(X)N_i + \sum_{b=r+1}^n \sigma_{ab}(X)E_b; \tag{2.6}$$

$$\nabla_X PY = \nabla_X^* PY + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^*(X, PY)\xi_i, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\nabla_X \xi_i = -A_{\xi_i}^* X - \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ji}(X)\xi_j, \tag{2.8}$$

where ∇ and ∇^* are induced linear connections on M and $S(TM)$ respectively, h_i^ℓ and h_a^s are called the *local second fundamental forms* on M , h_i^* are called the *local second fundamental forms* on $S(TM)$. A_{N_i} , A_{E_a} and $A_{\xi_i}^*$ are called the *shape operators*, and τ_{ij} , ρ_{ia} , λ_{ai} and σ_{ab} are 1-forms.

Let M be a generic lightlike submanifold of \bar{M} . From (1.3) we show that $J(Rad(TM))$, $J(ltr(TM))$ and $J(S(TM^\perp))$ are subbundles of $S(TM)$. Thus there exist two non-degenerate almost complex distributions H_o and H with respect to J , i.e., $J(H_o) = H_o$ and $J(H) = H$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} S(TM) &= \{J(Rad(TM)) \oplus J(ltr(TM))\} \\ &\quad \oplus_{orth} J(S(TM^\perp)) \oplus_{orth} H_o, \\ H &= Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} J(Rad(TM)) \oplus_{orth} H_o. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the tangent bundle TM on M is decomposed as follows:

$$TM = H \oplus J(ltr(TM)) \oplus_{orth} J(S(TM^\perp)). \tag{2.9}$$

Consider local null vector fields U_i and V_i for each i , local non-null unit vector fields W_a for each a , and their 1-forms u_i , v_i and w_a defined by

$$U_i = -JN_i, \quad V_i = -J\xi_i, \quad W_a = -JE_a, \tag{2.10}$$

$$u_i(X) = g(X, V_i), \quad v_i(X) = g(X, U_i), \quad w_a(X) = \epsilon_a g(X, W_a). \tag{2.11}$$

Denote by S the projection morphism of TM on H and by F the tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ globally defined on M by $F = J \circ S$. Then JX is expressed as

$$JX = FX + \sum_{i=1}^r u_i(X)N_i + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(X)E_a. \tag{2.12}$$

Applying J to (2.12) and using (2.1)₁ and (2.10), we have

$$F^2X = -X + \theta(X)\zeta + \sum_{i=1}^r u_i(X)U_i + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(X)W_a. \quad (2.13)$$

In the following, we say that F is the *structure tensor field* on M .

3. Structure equations

Let \bar{M} be an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$. In the following, we shall assume that ζ is tangent to M . Călin [5] proved that if ζ is tangent to M , then it belongs to $S(TM)$ which we assumed in this paper. Using (1.1), (1.2), (1.4), (2.4) and (2.12), we see that

$$(\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^r \{h_i^\ell(X, Y)\eta_i(Z) + h_i^\ell(X, Z)\eta_i(Y)\} \quad (3.1)$$

$$- \theta(Y)\phi(X, Z) - \theta(Z)\phi(X, Y),$$

$$T(X, Y) = \theta(Y)FX - \theta(X)FY, \quad (3.2)$$

$$h_i^\ell(X, Y) - h_i^\ell(Y, X) = \theta(Y)u_i(X) - \theta(X)u_i(Y), \quad (3.3)$$

$$h_a^s(X, Y) - h_a^s(Y, X) = \theta(Y)w_a(X) - \theta(X)w_a(Y), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\phi(X, \xi_i) = u_i(X), \quad \phi(X, N_i) = v_i(X), \quad \phi(X, E_a) = w_a(X), \quad (3.5)$$

$$\phi(X, V_i) = 0, \quad \phi(X, U_i) = -\eta_i(X), \quad \phi(X, W_a) = 0,$$

for all i and a , where η_i 's are 1-forms such that $\eta_i(X) = \bar{g}(X, N_i)$.

From the facts that $h_i^\ell(X, Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, \xi_i)$ and $\epsilon_a h_a^s(X, Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, E_a)$, we know that h_i^ℓ and h_a^s are independent of the choice of $S(TM)$. Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $g(\xi_i, \xi_j) = 0$, $\bar{g}(\xi_i, E_a) = 0$, $\bar{g}(N_i, N_j) = 0$, $\bar{g}(N_i, E_a) = 0$ and $\bar{g}(E_a, E_b) = \epsilon\delta_{ab}$ by turns and using (1.1) and (2.4) \sim (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_i^\ell(X, \xi_j) + h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) &= 0, & h_a^s(X, \xi_i) &= -\epsilon_a \lambda_{ai}(X), \\ \eta_j(A_{N_i} X) + \eta_i(A_{N_j} X) &= 0, & \eta_i(A_{E_a} X) &= \epsilon_a \rho_{ia}(X), \\ \epsilon_b \sigma_{ab} + \epsilon_a \sigma_{ba} &= 0; & h_i^\ell(X, \xi_i) &= 0, \quad h_i^\ell(\xi_j, \xi_k) = 0, \quad A_{\xi_i}^* \xi_i = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Definition 3.1. We say that a lightlike submanifold M of \bar{M} is

- (1) *irrotational* [17] if $\bar{\nabla}_X \xi_i \in \Gamma(TM)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$,
- (2) *solenoidal* [15] if A_{W_a} and A_{N_i} are $S(TM)$ -valued for all α and i .

From (2.4) and (3.1)₂, the item (1) is equivalent to

$$h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) = 0, \quad h_a^s(X, \xi_i) = \lambda_{ai}(X) = 0.$$

By using (3.1)₄, the item (2) is equivalent to

$$\eta_j(A_{N_i} X) = 0, \quad \rho_{ia}(X) = \eta_i(A_{E_a} X) = 0.$$

The local second fundamental forms are related to their shape operators by

$$h_i^\ell(X, Y) = g(A_{\xi_i}^* X, Y) + \theta(Y)u_i(X) - \sum_{k=1}^r h_k^\ell(X, \xi_i)\eta_k(Y), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\epsilon_a h_a^s(X, Y) = g(A_{E_a} X, Y) + \theta(Y)w_a(X) - \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_{ak}(X)\eta_k(Y), \quad (3.8)$$

$$h_i^*(X, PY) = g(A_{N_i} X, PY) + \theta(PY)v_i(X). \quad (3.9)$$

Replacing Y by ζ to (2.4) and using (2.3), (2.12), (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\nabla_X \zeta = -(\alpha - 1)FX + \beta(X - \theta(X)\zeta), \quad (3.10)$$

$$\theta(A_{\xi_i}^* X) = -\alpha u_i(X), \quad h_i^\ell(X, \zeta) = -(\alpha - 1)u_i(X), \quad (3.11)$$

$$\theta(A_{E_a} X) = -\{\epsilon_a(\alpha - 1) + 1\}w_a(X), \quad (3.12)$$

$$h_a^s(X, \zeta) = -(\alpha - 1)w_a(X).$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\bar{g}(\zeta, N_i)$ and using (2.3), (2.5) and (3.9), we have

$$\theta(A_{N_i} X) = -\alpha v_i(X) + \beta\eta_i(X), \quad (3.13)$$

$$h_i^*(X, \zeta) = -(\alpha - 1)v_i(X) + \beta\eta_i(X).$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to (2.10)_{1,2,3} and (2.12) by turns and using (2.2), (2.4) ~ (2.8), (2.10) ~ (2.12) and (3.7) ~ (3.9), we have

$$h_j^\ell(X, U_i) = h_i^*(X, V_j), \quad \epsilon_a h_i^*(X, W_a) = h_a^s(X, U_i),$$

$$h_j^\ell(X, V_i) = h_i^\ell(X, V_j), \quad \epsilon_a h_i^\ell(X, W_a) = h_a^s(X, V_i), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\epsilon_b h_b^s(X, W_a) = \epsilon_a h_a^s(X, W_b),$$

$$\nabla_X U_i = F(A_{N_i} X) + \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X)U_j + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \rho_{ia}(X)W_a \quad (3.15)$$

$$- \{\alpha\eta_i(X) + \beta v_i(X)\}\zeta,$$

$$\nabla_X V_i = F(A_{\xi_i}^* X) - \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ji}(X)V_j + \sum_{j=1}^r h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i)U_j \quad (3.16)$$

$$- \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a \lambda_{ai}(X)W_a - \beta u_i(X)\zeta,$$

$$\nabla_X W_a = F(A_{E_a} X) + \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_{ai}(X)U_i + \sum_{b=r+1}^n \sigma_{ab}(X)W_b \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\beta w_a(X)\zeta, \\
(\nabla_X F)(Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^r u_i(Y)A_{N_i}X + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(Y)A_{E_a}X \quad (3.18) \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^\ell(X, Y)U_i - \sum_{a=r+1}^n h_a^s(X, Y)W_a \\
& + \{\alpha g(X, Y) + \beta \bar{g}(JX, Y) - \theta(X)\theta(Y)\}\zeta \\
& - (\alpha - 1)\theta(Y)X - \beta\theta(Y)FX,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_X u_i)(Y) &= - \sum_{j=1}^r u_j(Y)\tau_{ji}(X) - \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(Y)\lambda_{ai}(X) \quad (3.19) \\
& - h_i^\ell(X, FY) - \beta\theta(Y)u_i(X),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_X v_i)(Y) &= \sum_{j=1}^r v_j(Y)\tau_{ij}(X) + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a w_a(Y)\rho_{ia}(X) \quad (3.20) \\
& + \sum_{j=r+1}^n u_j(Y)\eta_i(A_{N_j}X) - g(A_{N_i}X, FY) \\
& - (\alpha - 1)\theta(Y)\eta_i(X) - \beta\theta(Y)v_i(X).
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2. *There exist no generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection such that ζ is tangent to M and F satisfies the following equation:*

$$(\nabla_X F)Y = (\nabla_Y F)X, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Proof. Assume that $(\nabla_X F)Y - (\nabla_Y F)X = 0$. From (3.18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^r \{u_i(Y)A_{N_i}X - u_i(X)A_{N_i}Y\} \quad (3.21) \\
& + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{w_a(Y)A_{E_a}X - w_a(X)A_{E_a}Y\} - 2\beta\bar{g}(X, JY)\zeta \\
& + \{\theta(X)u_i(Y) - \theta(Y)u_i(X)\}U_i + \{\theta(X)w_a(Y) - \theta(Y)w_a(X)\}W_a \\
& + (\alpha - 1)\{\theta(X)Y - \theta(Y)X\} + \beta\{\theta(X)FY - \theta(Y)FX\} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product with ζ and using (3.12)₁ and (3.13)₁, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \sum_{i=1}^r \{u_i(Y)v_i(X) - u_i(X)v_i(Y)\} \\
& = \beta \sum_{i=1}^r \{u_i(Y)\eta_i(X) - u_i(X)\eta_i(Y)\} - 2\beta\bar{g}(X, JY).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $X = V_j, Y = U_j$ and $X = \xi_j, Y = U_j$ to this equation by turns, we obtain $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$, respectively. Taking $X = \xi_i$ to (3.21), we have

$$\theta(X)\xi_i + \sum_{j=1}^r u_j(X)A_{N_j}\xi_i + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(X)A_{E_a}\xi_i = 0.$$

Taking $X = U_k$ and $X = W_b$ to this equation, we have

$$A_{N_k}\xi_i = 0, \quad A_{E_b}\xi_i = 0.$$

Therefore, we get $\theta(X)\xi_i = 0$. It follows that $\theta(X) = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. It is a contradiction to $\theta(\zeta) = 1$. Thus we have our theorem. □

Corollary 3.3. *There exist no generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection such that ζ is tangent to M and F is parallel with respect to the connection ∇ .*

Theorem 3.4. *Let M be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection such that ζ is tangent to M . If U_i s or V_i s are parallel with respect to ∇ , then $\alpha = \beta = 0$, i.e., \bar{M} is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold. Furthermore, if U_i is parallel, M is solenoidal and $\tau_{ij} = 0$, if V_i is parallel, M is irrotational and $\tau_{ij} = 0$.*

Proof. (1) If U_i is parallel with respect to ∇ , then, taking the scalar product with ζ, V_j, W_a, U_j and N_j to (3.15) such that $\nabla_X U_i = 0$ respectively, we get

$$\alpha = \beta = 0, \quad \tau_{ij} = 0, \quad \rho_{ia} = 0, \quad \eta_j(A_{N_i}X) = 0, \quad h_i^*(X, U_j) = 0. \tag{3.22}$$

As $\alpha = \beta = 0, \bar{M}$ is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold. As $\rho_{ia} = 0$ and $\eta_j(A_{N_i}X) = 0, M$ is solenoidal.

(2) If V_i is parallel with respect to ∇ , then, taking the scalar product with ζ, U_j, V_j, W_a and N_j to (3.16) with $\nabla_X V_i = 0$ respectively, we get

$$\beta = 0, \quad \tau_{ji} = 0, \quad h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) = 0, \quad \lambda_{ai} = 0, \quad h_i^\ell(X, U_j) = 0. \tag{3.23}$$

As $h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) = 0$ and $\lambda_{ai} = 0, M$ is irrotational.

As $h_i^\ell(X, U_j) = 0$, we get $h_i^\ell(\zeta, U_j) = 0$. Taking $X = U_j$ and $Y = \zeta$ to (3.3), we get $h_i^\ell(U_j, \zeta) = \delta_{ij}$. On the other hand, replacing X by U to (3.12)₁, we have $h_i^\ell(U_j, \zeta) = -(\alpha - 1)\delta_{ij}$. It follows that $\alpha = 0$. Since $\alpha = \beta = 0, \bar{M}$ is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold. □

4. Recurrent and Lie recurrent structure tensors

Definition 4.1. The structure tensor field F of M is said to be

(1) *recurrent* [11] if there exists a 1-form ϖ on M such that

$$(\nabla_X F)Y = \varpi(X)FY,$$

(2) *Lie recurrent* [11] if there exists a 1-form ϑ on M such that

$$(\mathcal{L}_X F)Y = \vartheta(X)FY,$$

where \mathcal{L}_X denotes the Lie derivative on M with respect to X , that is,

$$(\mathcal{L}_X F)Y = [X, FY] - F[X, Y]. \quad (4.1)$$

In case $\vartheta = 0$, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_X F = 0$, we say that F is *Lie parallel*.

Theorem 4.2. *There exist no generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection such that ζ is tangent to M and the structure tensor field F is recurrent.*

Proof. Assume that F is recurrent. From (3.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi(X)FY &= \sum_{i=1}^r u_i(Y)A_{N_i}X + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(Y)A_{E_a}X \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^\ell(X, Y)U_i - \sum_{a=r+1}^n h_a^s(X, Y)W_a \\ &\quad + \{\alpha g(X, Y) + \beta \bar{g}(JX, Y) - \theta(X)\theta(Y)\}\zeta \\ &\quad - (\alpha - 1)\theta(Y)X - \beta\theta(Y)FX. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing Y by ξ_j to this and using the fact that $F\xi_j = -V_j$, we get

$$\varpi(X)V_j = \sum_{k=1}^r h_k^\ell(X, \xi_j)U_k + \sum_{b=r+1}^n h_b^s(X, \xi_j)W_b - \beta u_j(X)\zeta.$$

Taking the scalar product with U_j , we get $\varpi = 0$. It follows that F is parallel with respect to ∇ . By Corollary 3.2, we have our theorem. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let M be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection such that ζ is tangent to M and F is Lie recurrent. Then we have the following results:*

- (1) F is Lie parallel,
- (2) the function α satisfies $\alpha = 0$,
- (3) τ_{ij} and ρ_{ia} satisfy $\tau_{ij} \circ F = 0$ and $\rho_{ia} \circ F = 0$. Moreover,

$$\tau_{ij}(X) = \sum_{k=1}^r u_k(X)g(A_{N_k}V_j, N_i) - \beta\theta(X)\delta_{ij}.$$

Proof. (1) Using (2.13), (3.2), (3.18), (4.1) and the fact that $\theta \circ F = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(X)FY &= -\nabla_{FY}X + F\nabla_YX \tag{4.2} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^r u_i(Y)A_{N_i}X + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(Y)A_{E_a}X \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^r \{h_i^\ell(X, Y) - \theta(Y)u_i(X)\}U_i \\ &- \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{h_a^s(X, Y) - \theta(Y)w_a(X)\}W_a \\ &+ \alpha\{g(X, Y)\zeta - \theta(Y)X\} - \beta\theta(Y)FX. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing Y by ξ_j and then, Y by V_j to (4.2), respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\vartheta(X)V_j &= \nabla_{V_j}X + F\nabla_{\xi_j}X \tag{4.3} \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^\ell(X, \xi_j)U_i - \sum_{a=r+1}^n h_a^s(X, \xi_j)W_a, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(X)\xi_j &= -\nabla_{\xi_j}X + F\nabla_{V_j}X + \alpha u_j(X)\zeta \tag{4.4} \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^\ell(X, V_j)U_i - \sum_{a=r+1}^n h_a^s(X, V_j)W_a. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product with U_i to (4.3) and N_i to (4.4) respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta_{ij}\vartheta(X) &= g(\nabla_{V_j}X, U_i) - \bar{g}(\nabla_{\xi_j}X, N_i), \\ \delta_{ij}\vartheta(X) &= g(\nabla_{V_j}X, U_i) - \bar{g}(\nabla_{\xi_j}X, N_i). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing these two equations, we get $\vartheta = 0$. Thus F is Lie parallel.

(2) Taking the scalar product with ζ to (4.4), we get $g(\nabla_{\xi_j}X, \zeta) = \alpha u_j(X)$. Taking $X = U_i$ to this result and using (3.15), we obtain $\alpha = 0$.

(3) Taking the scalar product with N_i to (4.3) such that $X = W_a$ and using (3.4), (3.6)₄, (3.8) and (3.17), we get $h_a^s(U_i, V_j) = \rho_{ia}(\xi_j)$. On the other hand, taking the scalar product with W_a to (4.4) such that $X = U_i$ and using (3.15), we have $h_a^s(U_i, V_j) = -\rho_{ia}(\xi_j)$. Thus $\rho_{ia}(\xi_j) = 0$ and $h_a^s(U_i, V_j) = 0$.

Taking the scalar product with U_i to (4.3) such that $X = W_a$ and using (3.4), (3.6)_{2,4}, (3.8) and (3.17), we get $\epsilon_a\rho_{ia}(V_j) = \lambda_{aj}(U_i)$. On the other hand, taking the scalar product with W_a to (4.3) such that $X = U_i$ and using (3.1)₂ and (3.15), we get $\epsilon_a\rho_{ia}(V_j) = -\lambda_{aj}(U_i)$. Thus $\rho_{ia}(V_j) = \lambda_{aj}(U_i) = 0$.

Taking the scalar product with V_i to (4.3) such that $X = W_a$ and using (3.4), (3.6)₂, (3.14)₄ and (3.17), we obtain $\lambda_{ai}(V_j) = -\lambda_{aj}(V_i)$. On the other hand, taking the scalar product with W_a to (4.3) such that $X = V_i$ and using (3.6)₂ and (3.16), we have $\lambda_{ai}(V_j) = \lambda_{aj}(V_i)$. Thus we obtain $\lambda_{ai}(V_j) = 0$.

Taking the scalar product with W_a to (4.3) such that $X = \xi_i$ and using (2.8), (3.3), (3.6)₂ and (3.7), we get $h_i^\ell(V_j, W_a) = \lambda_{ai}(\xi_j)$. On the other hand, taking the scalar product with V_i to (4.4) such that $X = W_a$ and using (3.3) and (3.17), we get $h_i^\ell(V_j, W_a) = -\lambda_{ai}(\xi_j)$. Thus $\lambda_{ai}(\xi_j) = 0$ and $h_i^\ell(V_j, W_a) = 0$.

Summarizing the above results, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{ia}(\xi_j) = 0, \quad \rho_{ia}(V_j) = 0, \quad \lambda_{ai}(U_j) = 0, \quad \lambda_{ai}(V_j) = 0, \quad \lambda_{ai}(\xi_j) = 0, \quad (4.5) \\ h_a^s(U_i, V_j) = h_j^\ell(U_i, W_a) = 0, \quad h_i^\ell(V_j, W_a) = h_a^s(V_j, V_i) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product with N_i to (4.2) and using (3.1)₄, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{g}(\nabla_{FY} X, N_i) + g(\nabla_Y X, U_i) - \beta\theta(Y)v_i(X) \quad (4.6) \\ + \sum_{k=1}^r u_k(Y)\bar{g}(A_{N_k} X, N_i) + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a w_a(Y)\rho_{ia}(X) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing X by V_j to (4.6) and using (3.7), (3.16) and (4.5)₂, we have

$$h_j^\ell(FX, U_i) + \tau_{ij}(X) + \beta\theta(X)\delta_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^r u_k(X)\bar{g}(A_{N_k} V_j, N_i). \quad (4.7)$$

Replacing X by ξ_j to (4.6) and using (2.8), (3.7) and (4.5)₁, we have

$$h_j^\ell(X, U_i) = \sum_{k=1}^r u_k(X)\bar{g}(A_{N_k} \xi_j, N_i) + \tau_{ij}(FX). \quad (4.8)$$

Taking $X = U_k$ to this equation and using (3.14)₁, we have

$$h_i^*(U_k, V_j) = \bar{g}(A_{N_k} \xi_j, N_i). \quad (4.9)$$

Taking $X = U_i$ to (4.2) and using (2.13), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^r u_k(Y)A_{N_k} U_i + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(Y)A_{E_a} U_i - A_{N_i} Y \quad (4.10) \\ - F(A_{N_i} FY) - \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(FY)U_j - \sum_{a=r+1}^n \rho_{ia}(FY)W_a = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product with V_j to (4.10) and using (3.8), (3.9), (3.14)₁, (4.5)₆ and (4.9), we get

$$h_j^\ell(X, U_i) = - \sum_{k=1}^r u_k(X)\bar{g}(A_{N_k} \xi_j, N_i) - \tau_{ij}(FX).$$

Comparing this equation with (4.8), we obtain

$$\tau_{ij}(FX) + \sum_{k=1}^r u_k(X)\bar{g}(A_{N_k} \xi_j, N_i) = 0.$$

Replacing X by U_h to this equation, we have $\bar{g}(A_{N_k} \xi_j, N_i) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\tau_{ij}(FX) = 0, \quad h_j^\ell(X, U_i) = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

Taking $X = FY$ to (4.11)₂, we get $h_j^\ell(FX, U_i) = 0$. Thus (4.7) is reduced to

$$\tau_{ij}(X) = \sum_{k=1}^r u_k(X) \bar{g}(A_{N_k} V_j, N_i) - \beta \theta(X) \delta_{ij}.$$

Taking the scalar product with U_j to (4.10) such that $Y = W_a$ and using (3.4), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.14)₂, we have

$$h_i^*(W_a, U_j) = \epsilon_a h_a^s(U_i, U_j) = \epsilon_a h_a^s(U_j, U_i) = h_i^*(U_j, W_a). \tag{4.12}$$

Taking the scalar product with W_a to (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_a \rho_{ia}(FY) &= -h_i^*(Y, W_a) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^r u_k(Y) h_k^*(U_i, W_a) + \sum_{b=r+1}^n \epsilon_b w_b(Y) h_b^s(U_i, W_a). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product with U_i to (4.2) and then, taking $X = W_a$ and using (3.4), (3.6)₄, (3.8), (3.9), (3.14)₂, (3.17) and (4.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_a \rho_{ia}(FY) &= h_i^*(Y, W_a) \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^r u_k(Y) h_k^*(U_i, W_a) - \sum_{b=r+1}^n \epsilon_b w_b(Y) h_b^s(U_i, W_a). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the last two equations, we obtain $\rho_{ia}(FY) = 0$. □

5. Indefinite generalized Sasakian space forms

Definition 5.1. An indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} is said to be a *indefinite generalized Sasakian space form* and denote it by $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ if there exist three smooth functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 on \bar{M} such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} &= f_1 \{ \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{Y} \} \\ &+ f_2 \{ \bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Z})J\bar{Y} - \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, J\bar{Z})J\bar{X} + 2\bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Y})J\bar{Z} \} \\ &+ f_3 \{ \theta(\bar{X})\theta(\bar{Z})\bar{Y} - \theta(\bar{Y})\theta(\bar{Z})\bar{X} \\ &\quad + \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\theta(\bar{Y})\zeta - \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\theta(\bar{X})\zeta \}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where \tilde{R} is the curvature tensor of the Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla}$.

The notion of generalized Sasakian space form was introduced by Alegre *et. al.* [3], while the indefinite generalized Sasakian space forms were introduced by Jin [8]. Sasakian space form, Kenmotsu space form and cosymplectic space form are important kinds of generalized Sasakian space forms such that

$$f_1 = \frac{c+3}{4}, f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c-1}{4}; \quad f_1 = \frac{c-3}{4}, f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c+1}{4}; \quad f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c}{4}$$

respectively, where c is a constant J-sectional curvature of each space forms.

Denote by \bar{R} the curvature tensors of the non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on \bar{M} . By directed calculations from (1.2), (1.5) and (2.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} &= \tilde{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} + (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\theta)(\bar{Z})J\bar{Y} - (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}}\theta)(\bar{Z})J\bar{X} \\ &\quad - \theta(\bar{Z})\{\alpha[\theta(\bar{Y})\bar{X} - \theta(\bar{X})\bar{Y}] + \beta[\theta(\bar{Y})J\bar{X} - \theta(\bar{X})J\bar{Y}] \\ &\quad + 2\beta\bar{g}(X, JY)\zeta\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Denote by R and R^* the curvature tensors of the induced linear connections ∇ and ∇^* on M and $S(TM)$ respectively. Using the Gauss-Weingarten formulae, we obtain Gauss-Codazzi equations for M and $S(TM)$ respectively:

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z \quad (5.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \sum_{i=1}^r \{h_i^\ell(X, Z)A_{N_i}Y - h_i^\ell(Y, Z)A_{N_i}X\} \\ &+ \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{h_a^s(X, Z)A_{E_a}Y - h_a^s(Y, Z)A_{E_a}X\} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^r \{(\nabla_X h_i^\ell)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h_i^\ell)(X, Z) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^r [\tau_{ji}(X)h_j^\ell(Y, Z) - \tau_{ji}(Y)h_j^\ell(X, Z)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{a=r+1}^n [\lambda_{ai}(X)h_a^s(Y, Z) - \lambda_{ai}(Y)h_a^s(X, Z)] \\ &\quad - \theta(X)h_i^\ell(FY, Z) + \theta(Y)h_i^\ell(FX, Z)\}N_i \\ &+ \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{(\nabla_X h_a^s)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h_a^s)(X, Z) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^r [\rho_{ia}(X)h_i^\ell(Y, Z) - \rho_{ia}(Y)h_i^\ell(X, Z)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{b=r+1}^n [\sigma_{ba}(X)h_b^s(Y, Z) - \sigma_{ba}(Y)h_b^s(X, Z)] \\ &\quad - \theta(X)h_a^s(FY, Z) + \theta(Y)h_a^s(FX, Z)\}E_a, \end{aligned}$$

$$R(X, Y)PZ = R^*(X, Y)PZ \quad (5.4)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^r \{h_i^*(X, PZ)A_{\xi_i}^*Y - h_i^*(Y, PZ)A_{\xi_i}^*X\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^r \{(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, PZ) - (\nabla_Y h_i^*)(X, PZ) \\
 &+ \sum_{k=1}^r [\tau_{ik}(Y)h_k^*(X, PZ) - \tau_{ik}(X)h_k^*(Y, PZ)] \\
 &- \theta(X)h_i^*(FY, PZ) + \theta(Y)h_i^*(FX, PZ)\} \xi_i,
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product with ξ_i and N_i to (5.2) by turns and then, substituting (5.3) and (5.1) and using (3.6)₄ and (5.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\nabla_X h_i^\ell)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h_i^\ell)(X, Z) \tag{5.5} \\
 &+ \sum_{j=1}^r \{\tau_{ji}(X)h_j^\ell(Y, Z) - \tau_{ji}(Y)h_j^\ell(X, Z)\} \\
 &+ \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{\lambda_{ai}(X)h_a^s(Y, Z) - \lambda_{ai}(Y)h_a^s(X, Z)\} \\
 &- \theta(X)h_i^\ell(FY, Z) + \theta(Y)h_i^\ell(FX, Z) \\
 &- (\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(Z)u_i(Y) + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \theta)(Z)u_i(X) \\
 &+ \beta\theta(Z)\{\theta(Y)u_i(X) - \theta(X)u_i(Y)\} \\
 &= f_2\{u_i(Y)\bar{g}(X, JZ) - u_i(X)\bar{g}(Y, JZ) + 2u_i(Z)\bar{g}(X, JY)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, PZ) - (\nabla_Y h_i^*)(X, PZ) \tag{5.6} \\
 &- \sum_{j=1}^r \{\tau_{ij}(X)h_j^*(Y, PZ) - \tau_{ij}(Y)h_j^*(X, PZ)\} \\
 &- \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a \{\rho_{ia}(X)h_a^s(Y, PZ) - \rho_{ia}(Y)h_a^s(X, PZ)\} \\
 &+ \sum_{j=1}^r \{h_j^\ell(X, PZ)\eta_i(A_{N_j} Y) - h_j^\ell(Y, PZ)\eta_i(A_{N_j} X)\} \\
 &- \theta(X)h_i^*(FY, PZ) + \theta(Y)h_i^*(FX, PZ) \\
 &- (\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(PZ)v_i(Y) + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \theta)(PZ)v_i(X) \\
 &+ \alpha\theta(PZ)\{\theta(Y)\eta_i(X) - \theta(X)\eta_i(Y)\} \\
 &+ \beta\theta(PZ)\{\theta(Y)v_i(X) - \theta(X)v_i(Y)\} \\
 &= f_1\{g(Y, PZ)\eta_i(X) - g(X, PZ)\eta_i(Y)\} \\
 &+ f_2\{v_i(Y)\bar{g}(X, JPZ) - v_i(X)\bar{g}(Y, JPZ) + 2v_i(PZ)\bar{g}(X, JY)\} \\
 &+ f_3\{\theta(X)\eta_i(Y) - \theta(Y)\eta_i(X)\}\theta(PZ).
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let M be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection such that ζ is tangent to M . Then α, β, f_1, f_2 and f_3 satisfy*

(1) α is a constant on M ,

(2) $\alpha\beta = 0$, and

(3) $f_1 - f_2 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2$ and $f_1 - f_3 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \zeta\beta$.

Proof. Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\theta(U_i) = 0$ and $\theta(V_i) = 0$ by turns and using (2.4), (3.15), (3.16) and the facts that $F\zeta = 0$ and ζ belongs to $S(TM)$, we get

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(U_i) = \alpha\eta_i(X) + \beta v_i(X), \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(V_i) = \beta u_i(X). \quad (5.7)$$

Applying ∇_X to (3.14)₁: $h_j^\ell(Y, U_i) = h_i^*(Y, V_j)$ and using (2.1), (2.12), (3.7), (3.9), (3.11), (3.12), (3.14)_{1,2,4}, (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X h_j^\ell)(Y, U_i) &= (\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, V_j) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^r \{\tau_{kj}(X)h_k^\ell(Y, U_i) + \tau_{ik}(X)h_k^*(Y, V_j)\} \\ &\quad - \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{\lambda_{aj}(X)h_a^s(Y, U_i) + \epsilon_a \rho_{ia}(X)h_a^s(Y, V_j)\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^r \{h_i^*(Y, U_k)h_k^\ell(X, \xi_j) + h_i^*(X, U_k)h_k^\ell(Y, \xi_j)\} \\ &\quad - g(A_{\xi_j}^* X, F(A_{N_i} Y)) - g(A_{\xi_j}^* Y, F(A_{N_i} X)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^r h_j^\ell(X, V_k)\eta_k(A_{N_i} Y) \\ &\quad - \beta(\alpha - 1)\{u_j(Y)v_i(X) - u_j(X)v_i(Y)\} \\ &\quad - \alpha(\alpha - 1)u_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - \beta^2 u_j(X)\eta_i(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this equation into the modification equation, which is change i into j and Z into U_i from (5.5), and using (3.6)₃ and (3.14)₃, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, V_j) - (\nabla_Y h_i^*)(X, V_j) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^r \{\tau_{ik}(X)h_k^*(Y, V_j) - \tau_{ik}(Y)h_k^*(X, V_j)\} \\ &\quad - \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a \{\rho_{ia}(X)h_a^s(Y, V_j) - \rho_{ia}(Y)h_a^s(X, V_j)\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^r \{h_k^\ell(X, V_j)\eta_i(A_{N_k} Y) - h_k^\ell(Y, V_j)\eta_i(A_{N_k} X)\} \\ &\quad - \theta(X)h_i^*(FY, V_j) + \theta(Y)h_i^*(FX, V_j) \\ &\quad - \beta(2\alpha - 1)\{u_j(Y)v_i(X) - u_j(X)v_i(Y)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\{u_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - u_j(X)\eta_i(Y)\} \\
 & = f_2\{u_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - u_j(X)\eta_i(Y) + 2\delta_{ij}\bar{g}(X, JY)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this equation with (5.6) such that $PZ = V_j$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{f_1 - f_2 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2\}\{u_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - u_j(X)\eta_i(Y)\} \\
 & = 2\alpha\beta\{u_j(Y)v_i(X) - u_j(X)v_i(Y)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $Y = U_j$, $X = \xi_i$ and $Y = U_j$, $X = V_i$ to this by turns, we have

$$f_1 - f_2 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2, \quad \alpha\beta = 0.$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\theta(\zeta) = 1$ and using (2.3) and the fact: $\theta \circ J = 0$, we get

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(\zeta) = 0. \tag{5.8}$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\eta_i(Y) = \bar{g}(Y, N_i)$ and using (1.1) and (2.5), we have

$$(\nabla_X\eta)(Y) = -g(A_{N_i}X, Y) + \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X)\eta_j(Y) - \theta(Y)v_i(X). \tag{5.9}$$

Applying ∇_X to $h_i^*(Y, \zeta) = -(\alpha - 1)v_i(Y) + \beta\eta_i(Y)$ and using (3.9), (3.10), (3.20), (5.9) and the fact that $\alpha\beta = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, \zeta) & = -(X\alpha)v_i(Y) + (X\beta)\eta_i(Y) \\
 & + (\alpha - 1)\{g(A_{N_i}X, FY) + g(A_{N_i}Y, FX) \\
 & - \sum_{j=1}^r v_j(Y)\tau_{ij}(X) - \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a w_a(Y)\rho_{ia}(X) \\
 & - \sum_{j=1}^r u_j(Y)\eta_i(A_{N_j}X) - (\alpha - 1)\theta(Y)\eta_i(X)\} \\
 & - \beta\{g(A_{N_i}X, Y) + g(A_{N_i}Y, X) - \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X)\eta_j(Y) \\
 & - \beta\theta(X)\eta_i(Y)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this and (3.13)₂ into (5.6) with $PZ = \zeta$ and using (5.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{X\beta + (f_1 - f_3 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2)\theta(X)\}\eta_i(Y) \\
 & - \{Y\beta + (f_1 - f_3 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2)\theta(Y)\}\eta_i(X) \\
 & = (X\alpha)v_i(Y) - (Y\alpha)v_i(X).
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $X = \zeta$, $Y = \xi_i$ and $X = U_j$, $Y = V_i$ to this by turns, we have

$$f_1 - f_3 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \zeta\beta, \quad U_j\alpha = 0.$$

Applying ∇_Y to (3.11)₂ and using (3.10) and (3.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X h_i^\ell)(Y, \zeta) &= -(X\alpha)u_i(Y) \\ &+ (\alpha - 1)\left\{\sum_{j=1}^r u_j(Y)\tau_{ij}(X) + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a w_a(Y)\lambda_{ai}(X)\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ h_i^\ell(X, FY) + h_i^\ell(Y, FX)\right\} \\ &- \beta\{h_i^\ell(Y, X) + \theta(Y)u_i(X) - \theta(X)u_i(Y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (5.5) such that $Z = \zeta$ and using (3.3) and (5.8), we have

$$(X\alpha)u_i(Y) = (Y\alpha)u_i(X).$$

Taking $Y = U_i$ to this result and using the fact that $U_i\alpha = 0$, we have $X\alpha = 0$. Therefore α is a constant. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let M be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection such that ζ is tangent to M . If F is Lie recurrent, then*

$$\alpha = 0, \quad f_1 = -\beta^2, \quad f_2 = 0, \quad f_3 = -\zeta\beta.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, we shown that $\alpha = 0$ and we have (4.11)₂. Applying ∇_X to (4.11)₂: $h_i^\ell(Y, U_j) = 0$ and using (3.11)₂, (3.15) and (4.11)₂, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X h_i^\ell)(Y, U_j) &= -h_i^\ell(Y, F(A_{N_j}X)) - \sum_{a=r+1}^n \rho_{ja}(X)h_i^\ell(Y, W_a) \\ &\quad + \beta u_i(Y)v_j(X). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (5.5) with $Z = U_j$ and using (5.7)₁, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &h_i^\ell(X, F(A_{N_j}Y)) - h_i^\ell(Y, F(A_{N_j}X)) \\ &+ \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{\rho_{ja}(Y)h_i^\ell(X, W_a) - \rho_{ja}(X)h_i^\ell(Y, W_a)\} \\ &+ \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{\lambda_{ai}(X)h_a^s(Y, U_j) - \lambda_{ai}(Y)h_a^s(X, U_j)\} \\ &= f_2\{u_i(Y)\eta_j(X) - u_i(X)\eta_j(Y) + 2\delta_{ij}\bar{g}(X, JY)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $Y = U_i$ and $X = \xi_j$ to this and using (3.3) and (4.5)_{1,3,5}, we have

$$3f_2 = h_i^\ell(\xi_j, F(A_{N_j}U_i)) + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \rho_{ja}(U_i)h_i^\ell(\xi_j, W_a). \quad (5.10)$$

In general, replacing X by ξ_j to (3.7) and using (3.3) and (3.6)₇, we get $h_i^\ell(X, \xi_j) = g(A_{\xi_i}^* \xi_j, X)$. From this and (3.6)₁, we obtain $A_{\xi_i}^* \xi_j = -A_{\xi_j}^* \xi_i$. Thus

$A_{\xi_i}^* \xi_j$ are skew-symmetric with respect to i and j . On the other hand, in case M is Lie recurrent, taking $Y = U_j$ to (4.10), we have $A_{N_i} U_j = A_{N_j} U_i$. Thus $A_{N_i} U_j$ are symmetric with respect to i and j . Therefore, we get

$$h_i^\ell(\xi_j, F(A_{N_j} U_i)) = g(A_{\xi_i}^* \xi_j, F(A_{N_j} U_i)) = 0.$$

Also, by using (3.4), (3.6)₂, (3.14)₄ and (4.5)₄, we have

$$h_i^\ell(\xi_j, W_a) = \epsilon_a h_a^s(\xi_j, V_i) = \epsilon_a h_a^s(V_i, \xi_j) = -\lambda_{ja}(V_i) = 0.$$

Thus we get $f_2 = 0$ by (5.10). Therefore, $f_1 = -\beta^2$ and $f_3 = -\zeta\beta$. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let M be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection such that ζ is tangent to M . If U_i s or V_i s are parallel with respect to ∇ , then $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is a flat manifold with an indefinite cosymplectic structure;*

$$\alpha = \beta = 0, \quad f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0.$$

Proof. (1) If U_i s are parallel with respect to ∇ , then we have (3.22). As $\alpha = 0$, we get $f_1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 5.2. Applying ∇_Y to (3.22)₅, we obtain

$$(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, U_j) = 0.$$

Substituting this equation and (3.22) into (5.6) with $PZ = U_j$, we have

$$f_1\{v_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - v_j(X)\eta_i(Y)\} + f_2\{v_i(Y)\eta_j(X) - v_i(X)\eta_j(Y)\} = 0.$$

Taking $X = \xi_i$ and $Y = V_j$ to this equation, we get $f_1 + f_2 = 0$. Thus we see that $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$ and \bar{M} is flat.

(2) If V_i s are parallel with respect to ∇ , then we have (3.23) and $\alpha = 0$. As $\alpha = 0$, we get $f_1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 5.2. From (3.14)₁ and (3.23)₅, we have

$$h_i^*(Y, V_j) = 0.$$

Applying ∇_X to this equation and using the fact that $\nabla_X V_j = 0$, we have

$$(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, V_j) = 0.$$

Substituting these two equations into (5.6) such that $PZ = V_j$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=r+1}^n \epsilon_a \{ \rho_{ia}(Y) h_a^s(X, V_j) - \rho_{ia}(X) h_a^s(Y, V_j) \} \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^r \{ h_k^\ell(X, V_j) \eta_i(A_{N_k} Y) - h_k^\ell(Y, V_j) \eta_i(A_{N_k} X) \} \\ & = f_1 \{ u_j(Y) \eta_i(X) - u_j(X) \eta_i(Y) \} + 2f_2 \delta_{ij} \bar{g}(X, JY). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $X = \xi_i$ and $Y = U_j$ to this equation and using (3.3), (3.23)_{3,4,5} and the fact that $h_a^s(U_j, V_j) = \epsilon_a h_i^\ell(U_j, W_a) = 0$ due to (3.3), (3.14)₄ and (3.23)₅, we obtain $f_1 + 2f_2 = 0$. It follows that $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$ and \bar{M} is flat. □

Definition 5.5. An r -lightlike submanifold M is called *totally umbilical* [6] if there exist smooth functions \mathcal{A}_i and \mathcal{B}_a on a neighborhood \mathcal{U} such that

$$h_i^\ell(X, Y) = \mathcal{A}_i g(X, Y), \quad h_a^s(X, Y) = \mathcal{B}_a g(X, Y). \quad (5.11)$$

In case $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{B}_a = 0$, we say that M is *totally geodesic*.

Theorem 5.6. Let M be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection such that ζ is tangent to M . If M is totally umbilical, then $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is an indefinite Sasakian space form such that

$$\alpha = 1, \quad \beta = 0; \quad f_1 = \frac{2}{3}, \quad f_2 = f_3 = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

Proof. Taking $Y = \zeta$ to (5.11)_{1,2} by turns and using (3.12)_{1,2}, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_i \theta(X) = -(\alpha - 1)u_i(X), \quad \mathcal{B}_a \theta(X) = -(\alpha - 1)w_a(X),$$

respectively. Taking $X = \zeta$ and $X = U_i$ to the first equation by turns, we have $\mathcal{A}_i = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ respectively. Taking $X = \zeta$ to the second equation, we have $\mathcal{B}_a = 0$. As $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{B}_a = 0$, M is totally geodesic. As $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, \bar{M} is an indefinite Sasakian manifold and $f_1 - 1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 5.2.

Taking $Z = U_j$ to (5.5) and using (5.7)₁ and $h_i^s = h_a^s = 0$, we get

$$(f_2 + 1)\{u_i(Y)\eta_j(X) - u_i(X)\eta_j(Y)\} + 2\delta_{ij}f_2\bar{g}(X, JY) = 0.$$

Taking $X = \xi_j$ and $Y = U_i$, we have $f_2 = -\frac{1}{3}$. Thus $f_1 = \frac{2}{3}$ and $f_3 = -\frac{1}{3}$. □

Definition 5.7. (1) A screen distribution $S(TM)$ is said to be *totally umbilical* [6] in M if there exist smooth functions γ_i on a neighborhood \mathcal{U} such that

$$h_i^*(X, PY) = \gamma_i g(X, PY).$$

In case $\gamma_i = 0$, we say that $S(TM)$ is *totally geodesic* in M .

(2) An r -lightlike submanifold M is said to be *screen conformal* [8] if there exist non-vanishing smooth functions φ_i on \mathcal{U} such that

$$h_i^*(X, PY) = \varphi_i h_i^\ell(X, PY). \quad (5.12)$$

Theorem 5.8. Let M be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection such that ζ is tangent to M . If $S(TM)$ is totally umbilical or M is screen conformal, then $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is an indefinite Sasakian space form;

$$\alpha = 1, \quad \beta = 0; \quad f_1 = 0, \quad f_2 = f_3 = -1.$$

Proof. (1) If $S(TM)$ is totally umbilical, then (3.13)₂ is reduced to

$$\gamma_i \theta(X) = -(\alpha - 1)v_i(X) + \beta \eta_i(X).$$

Replacing X by V_i , ξ_i and ζ respectively, we have $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma_i = 0$. As $\gamma_i = 0$, $S(TM)$ is totally geodesic, and $h_a^s(X, U_k) = 0$ and $h_j^\ell(X, U_k) = 0$. As $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, \bar{M} is an indefinite Sasakian manifold and $f_1 - 1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 5.1. Taking $PZ = U_k$ to (5.6) with $h_i^* = 0$, we get

$$f_1 \{v_k(Y)\eta_i(X) - v_k(X)\eta_i(Y)\} + \{v_i(Y)\eta_k(X) - v_i(X)\eta_k(Y)\} = 0.$$

Taking $X = \xi_i$ and $Y = V_k$, we have $f_1 = 0$. Thus $f_2 = f_3 = -1$.

(2) If M is screen conformal, then, from (3.12)₂, (3.13)₂ and (5.12), we have

$$(\alpha - 1)\{v_i(X) - \beta \eta_i(X) = \varphi_i(\alpha - 1)u_i(X)\}.$$

Taking $X = V_i$ and $X = \xi_i$ to this equation by turns, we have $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$. As $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, \bar{M} is an indefinite Sasakian manifold and $f_1 - 1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 5.1.

Denote by μ_i the r -th vector fields on $S(TM)$ such that $\mu_i = U_i - \varphi_i V_i$. Then $J\mu_i = N_i - \varphi_i \xi_i$. Using (3.14)_{1,2,3,4} and (5.12), we get

$$h_j^\ell(X, \mu_i) = 0, \quad h_a^s(X, \mu_i) = 0. \tag{5.13}$$

Applying ∇_Y to (5.12), we have

$$(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, PZ) = (X\varphi_i)h_i^\ell(Y, PZ) + \varphi_i(\nabla_X h_i^\ell)(Y, PZ).$$

Substituting this equation and (5.12) into (5.6) and using (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^r \{(X\varphi_i)\delta_{ij} - \varphi_i\tau_{ji}(X) - \varphi_j\tau_{ij}(X) - \eta_i(A_{N_j}X)\}h_j^\ell(Y, PZ) \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^r \{(Y\varphi_i)\delta_{ij} - \varphi_i\tau_{ji}(Y) - \varphi_j\tau_{ij}(Y) - \eta_i(A_{N_j}Y)\}h_j^\ell(X, PZ) \\ & - \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{\epsilon_a\rho_{ia}(X) + \varphi_i\lambda_{ai}(X)\}h_a^s(Y, PZ) \\ & + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{\epsilon_a\rho_{ia}(Y) + \varphi_i\lambda_{ai}(Y)\}h_a^s(X, PZ) \\ & - (\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(PZ)\{v_i(Y) - \varphi u_i(Y)\} + (\bar{\nabla}_Y\theta)(PZ)\{v_i(X) - \varphi u_i(X)\} \\ & - \alpha\{\theta(X)\eta_i(Y) - \theta(Y)\eta_i(X)\}\theta(PZ) \\ & = f_1\{g(Y, PZ)\eta_i(X) - g(X, PZ)\eta_i(Y)\} \\ & + f_2\{[v_i(Y) - \varphi_i u_i(Y)]\bar{g}(X, JPZ) - [v_i(X) - \varphi_i u_i(X)]\bar{g}(Y, JPZ)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$+2[v_i(PZ) - \varphi_i u_i(PZ)]\bar{g}(X, JY)\} \\ + f_3\{\theta(X)\eta_i(Y) - \theta(Y)\eta_i(X)\}\theta(PZ).$$

Replacing PZ by μ_j to this and using (5.7) and (5.13), we obtain

$$f_1\{[v_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - v_j(X)\eta_i(Y)] - \varphi_j[u_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - u_j(X)\eta_i(Y)]\} \\ + f_1\{[v_i(Y)\eta_j(X) - v_i(X)\eta_j(Y)] - \varphi_i[u_i(Y)\eta_j(X) - u_i(X)\eta_j(Y)]\} \\ - 2f_2(\varphi_j + \varphi_i)\delta_{ij}\bar{g}(X, JY) = 0.$$

Taking $X = \xi_i$ and $Y = V_j$, we get $f_1 = 0$. Thus $f_2 = f_3 = -1$. \square

Acknowledgements. We would like to express our gratitude to the referees for valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] AGESHE, N. S., CHAFLE, M. R., A semi-symmetric non-metric connection on a Riemannian manifold, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, Vol. 23 (1992), No. 6, 399–409.
- [2] AHMAD, M., HASEEB, A., Hypersurfaces of an almost r -paracontact Riemannian manifold endowed with a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, Vol 49 (2009), 533–543.
- [3] ALEGRE, P, BLAIR, D. E., CARRIAZO, A., Generalized Sasakian space form, *Israel J. Math.*, Vol 141 (2004), 157–183.
- [4] BARMAN, A., On a type of quarter-symmetric non-metric ϕ -connection on a Kenmotsu manifold, *Bull. Math. Anal. and Appl.*, Vol 4 (2012), No.3, 1–11.
- [5] CĂLIN, C., Contributions to geometry of CR-submanifold, *Thesis, University of Iasi (Romania)* (1998).
- [6] DUGGAL, K. L., JIN, D. H., Generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Sasakian manifold, *Int. Elec. J. Geo.*, Vol 5 (2012), No.1, 108–119.
- [7] GOLAB, S. On semi-symmetric and quarter-symmetric connections, *Tensor, N.S.*, Vol 29 (1975), 249–254.
- [8] JIN, D. H., Half lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, Vol 51 (2014), No.4, 979–994.
- [9] JIN, D. H., Indefinite generalized Sasakian space form admitting a generic lightlike submanifold, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, Vol 51 (2014), No.6, 1711–1726.
- [10] JIN, D. H., Generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold of a quasi-constant curvature, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, Vol 9 (2015), No.60, 2985–2997.
- [11] JIN, D. H., Special lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Kaehler manifolds, *Filomat*, Vol 30 (2016), No.7, 1919–1930.
- [12] JIN, D. H., Lightlike hypersurfaces of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, Vol 53 (2016), No.6, 1771–1783.

- [13] JIN, D. H., Lightlike hypersurfaces of an indefinite Kaehler manifold with a non-metric ϕ -symmetric connection, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, Vol 54 (2017), No.2, 619–632.
- [14] JIN, D. H., LEE, J. W., Generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold, *Math. Probl. in Engin.*, Vol 2011, Art ID 610986, 1–16.
- [15] JIN, D. H., LEE, J. W., A semi-Riemannian manifold of quasi-constant curvature admits lightlike submanifolds, *Inter. J. of Math. Analysis*, Vol 9 (2015), No.25, 1215–1229.
- [16] JIN, D. H., LEE, J. W., Generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Kaehler manifold, *Inter. J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, Vol 101 (2015), No.4, 543–560.
- [17] KUPELI, D. N., Singular Semi-Riemannian Geometry, *Kluwer Academic*, Vol 366 (1996).
- [18] OUBINA, J. A., New classes of almost contact metric structures, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, Vol 32 (1985), 187–193.
- [19] SHAIKH, A. A., SANJIB KUMAR JANA, On quarter symmetric metric connections on a (k, m) -contact metric manifolds, *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Series A1*, Vol 55 (2006), No.1, 33–45.
- [20] YADAV, S., SUTHAR, D. L., A quart symmetric non-metric connection in a generalized co-symplectic manifolds, *Glob. J. Sci. Fron. Res.*, Vol 11 (2011), No.9, 1–7.